UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,386	06/07/2007	James K. Garland	TEAR AWAY WALL	7139
7590 03/19/200 Terry M. Crellin		9	EXAMINER	
204 W. 330 No	rth		NELSON, MATTHEW M	
Laverkin, UT 8	+/43		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/589,386	GARLAND, JAMES K.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Matthew M. Neison	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externation Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w  AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ They raise new issues that would require further co		ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	**		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a o	corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ecteu ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	,	mpliant Amondment (	DTOL 324)
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		mpliant Amendment (	F 10L-324).
<ul><li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li></ul>		timely filed amendmen	at canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	lowable il subiffilled ili a separate,	uniery nied amendinei	it canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 013. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Cris L. Rodriguez/	/Matthew M Nelson/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3732	Examiner, Art Unit 3732		
·			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issues raised include the side wall having a lower side edge that lies on said side wall and forms a lower terminus of said side wall. The applicants arguments are not persuasive, as the first action on the merits stated the base to be the flat upper surface 59 and the rejection has remained the same. Additionally, Honstein discloses the structure as claimed despite some terminology and has a side wall that does end up extending upwardly from the perimeter of the base which may be torn away from the base by the frangible members described in paragraph 12.